## **Kaycee Hathaway**

**From:** agilityaussie@aol.com

**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 4:58 PM

**To:** Kaycee Hathaway

**Subject:** Re: Current planning: land use applications, Conditional Use Permits - CU-14-00002

**NMF** Enterprises

June 23, 2014

Kaycee K. Hathaway Kittitas County Community Development Services - Planner I 411 N. Ruby, Suite 2 Ellensburg, WA 98926

Re: Current planning: land use applications, Conditional Use Permits - CU-14-00002 NMF Enterprises

Letter Objecting to 5810 Naneum Rd. Marijuana Producing and Processing Application CUP and SEPA Checklist

Dear Ms. Hathaway:

I realize it is close to the end of the day, and the time during which we can comment on the proposed SEPA application. It is a very long checklist, and I have questions regarding several of the answers submitted. Because of time constraints, I will comment on only a few here:

#15 Public Services: "Would the project result in an increassed need for public services?" I disagree with the "no" response by the very nature of the product, and what we already know about the increase in crime in other areas where marijuana is grown and processed.

#10 Vehicle Trips. I believe the response is under-stated, does not include "armored vehicles" and frankly any increase in traffice in the areas would have a negative impact.

We don't know the proposed project will affect wildlife. We don't know what effect the odor will have. We do know that the location of a large industrial operation in an agricultural area, and in an area of small family farms will have a negative impact.

In many cases, the appropriate response would be "don't know yet" instead of "no" opr "does not apply." Because Marijuana growing and processing is such an unknown, it seems to me instead of approving the application, that taking a more cautious and slow approach better serves the community.

Thank you.

Debbi Borin 4621 Rader Rd. Ellensburg, WA 98926